RESEARCH ARTICLE

EFFECT OF GLYCEMIC CONTROL ON THE INCIDENCE OF MICROALBUMINURIA IN TYPE II DIABETES MELLITUS INDIVIDUALS

Background: Microalbuminuria documents earliest evidence of diabetic nephropathy and is a major predictor of end stage renal disease. Uncontrolled hyperglycemia has been recognized to be associated with higher incidence of microvascular complications in Type II diabetes mellitus. Studies have shown that severity of nephropathy is correlated with microalbuminuria which depends on sustained mean hyperglycemic level over a prolonged period.

Aims & Objective: To find the role of glycemic control in the presence of microalbuminuria in Type II diabetics.

Materials and Methods: The study comprised of 60 Type II diabetes patients aged between 40-60 years attending Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College, Kolar. Divided into two groups each comprising of 30 individuals: Group I HbA_{1c} < 7% - Controlled Diabetic group and Group II HbA_{1c} > 7% - Uncontrolled Diabetic Group. Compared with Control Group comprising of 30 age-matched healthy participants HbA_{1c}<6%. Glycosylated Hemoglobin and Microalbuminuria were estimated in all the participants. The results were statistically analyzed by One-way ANOVA and chi-square test.

Results: Uncontrolled diabetics showed higher incidence of microalbuminuria (53.33%) with mean HbA_{1c} 8.47 \pm 0.84 compared to Controlled diabetics (23.33%) with mean HbA_{1c} 6.81 \pm 0.19. Microalbuminuria also showed a direct relationship with glycemic status of an individual.

Conclusion: Uncontrolled hyperglycemia is associated with higher incidence of micro vascular complications like nephropathy in diabetes. HbA_{1c} is an indicator of long-term blood glucose concentrations. Microalbuminuria serves as a warning to achieve good glycemic control and prevent further worsening of diabetes related complications. **Key Words:** Type II Diabetes; Glycemic Control; Microalbuminuria

INTRODUCTION

A state of diminished insulin action is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in persons with Type II DM. Chronic hyperglycemia initiates microvascular complications including nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy. These complications are predominantly seen in patients within the age group of 40 to 60 years. Poor glycemic control plays an important role in the development and progression of nephropathy with associated increase in morbidity and mortality.^[1] Studies in this field have suggested a strong association between level of hyperglycemia and the progression of microvascular complications in diabetic patients.^[2] Glycosylated hemoglobin levels were used as a measure of long term glycemic control and have proven to be a more accurate and stable measure than fasting blood glucose level.^[3]

Microalbuminuria, defined as an elevation of urinary albumin excretion in the range of 30-300 mg/24 hr or 20-200 μ g/min, is associated with adverse health outcomes in adults.^[4] One of the earliest signs of diabetic nephropathy is microalbuminuria which is strongly associated with endothelial dysfunction, which increases Naveen P, Venkatesan R, Ashwath kumar R, Venkidusamy S

Department of Physiology, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Medical College & Hospital, Siruvachur, Perambalur, Tamil Nadu, India

Correspondence to: Naveen P (naveenphysiol@gmail.com)

Received Date: 12.09.2013 **Accepted Date:** 21.10.2013

DOI: 10.5455/njppp.2014.4.211020131

the risk of nephropathy and other complications in diabetes and microalbuminuria is the best documented predictor of diabetic nephropathy^[5], which is a major cause of end stage renal disease (ESRD)^[6]. Studies of patients with diabetes have shown that those with microalbuminuria are at increased risk for renal progression^[7,8] and excess morbidity and mortality^[9,10]. Microalbuminuria is useful marker of generalized endothelial cell dysfunction and mortality in Type I DM patients and is related to its renal sequelae.[11,12] Microalbuminuria has also emerged as an important risk factor for left ventricular hypertrophy, myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral vascular diseases and retinopathy, independent of blood pressure. Strong evidence exists that improved glycemic control is effective at lessening the risks of nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy in diabetes.[13]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study is conducted in a group of 90 individuals consisting of 30 normal healthy participants as control and 60 diagnosed cases of Type II Diabetes Mellitus, irrespective of duration of Diabetes and sex taken randomly from the Outpatient and admitted patients of Medicine department of Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College (SDUMC), Kolar. The Diabetes mellitus patients were further divided into two sub-groups each consisting of 30 patients: Type II Diabetes mellitus patients with good glycemic control (HbA1c < 7%) in Group I and Type II Diabetes mellitus patients with poor glycemic control (HbA1c > 7%) in Group II. The Research and Ethical committee of SDUMC has approved this study and Informed consent was obtained from all patients and control participants participating in this study.

The participants with confirmed Type II diabetes, irrespective of duration since onset, within the age group of 40-60 years were included for the study and among the participants $HbA_{1c} < 7\%$ were included in the Group I (Controlled diabetic group) and and $HbA_{1c} > 7\%$ were included in Group II (Uncontrolled diabetic group).

Participants suffering from hypertension, renal disease and persons on medications altering renal function like ACE-Inhibitors (Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors), ARB (Angiotensin receptor blockers), diuretics and NSAID were excluded from this study.

Taking all aseptic and antiseptic precautions, 3 ml of blood is drawn from the Ante cubital vein and 24 hrs urine sample is collected in a sterilized container for estimation of HbA_{1c} and microalbuminuria respectively. Glycosylated Hemoglobin level was estimated by cation-exchange resin method (Recombigen laboratories pvt. Ltd kits) using a Spectrophotometer and Microalbuminuria was detected by Micral test (Roche Diagnostics Ltd), a dipstick method in urine.

STATISTICA 5.0 version statistical package were used for the analysis of the data and Microsoft word and Excel have been used to generate tables. The results obtained were presented in Mean ± SD and then analysed statistically by one-way ANOVA followed by chi-square test.

RESULTS

The test group comprises of 60 Type II diabetes mellitus patients within the age group of 40-60 years, mean age of controlled diabetic group (n=30) is 51.83 ± 5.86 years, uncontrolled diabetic group (n=30) is 56.17 ± 4.18 years and mean age group of control group (n=30) is $53.03 \pm$ 6.26 years. Mean HbA_{1c} levels between the three groups were shown in Table 1 which shows an elevated level of HbA_{1c} in uncontrolled diabetic group compared to controlled diabetic group and Control group and which is statistically significant. Our results from table 2 shows 7 microalbuminuria positive participants (23.33%) in the controlled diabetic group (n=30) & 16 microalbuminuria positive participants (53.33%) in the Uncontrolled diabetic group (n=30) but no Microalbuminuria were noticed in any participants of the control group. There is no significance difference found between uncontrolled diabetics and controlled diabetic group. We also found HbA_{1c} levels and percentage distribution among participants with microalbuminuria in table 3.

Table 1. Comparison of	fmoon	JhA lovals h	otwoon con	trolo	roup
Table-1: Comparison of mean HbA _{1c} levels between control group, controlled diabetic and uncontrolled diabetic group and significance					
using one-way ANOVA					
Groups	HbA _{1c} Value in % (Mean ± SD)			p Value	
Control		5.75 ± 0.24			
Controlled Diabetics		6.45 ± 0.37		<0.001	
Uncontrolled Diabetics		8.01 ± 0.83			
Table-2: Microalbuminuria in control group, controlled diabetic and					
uncontrolled diabetic group					
Groups	Microalbuminuria N (%)			χ^2	p Value
Control (n=30) 0			-	-	
Controlled Diabetics (n=30) 7 (23.33)			3.52	>0.05	
Uncontrolled Diabetics (n=30)	16 (53.33)		3.32 (I	(NS)
Table-3: HbA _{1c} leve	els and	percentage	distributio	n a	mong
participants with microalbuminuria					
Microalbuminuria l	HbA _{1c} Va	lue in % (Me	an ± SD)	0	/o
Group I (n=7)	6.81 ± 0.19		23.33		
Group II (n=16)	8.47 ± 0.84			53.33	
Total (n=23)	7.96 ± 1.05			38.33	

DISCUSSION

Type II diabetes mellitus is being increasingly recognized as a disease, which is characterized by dysfunction of the endothelium and it occurs in a generalized and widespread manner in diabetic patients.^[5] The severity of the dysfunction is directly proportional to the degree and duration of uncontrolled hyperglycemia. Microalbuminuria marks the onset of endothelial dysfunction related to the kidney. Microalbuminuria serves as a warning for imminent nephropathy.^[6] Diabetic participants with microalbuminuria not only have ongoing progressive nephropathy but are likely to have other endothelial dysfunctions leading to retinopathy, hypertension, CAD and other related complications.^[10]

In our study microalbuminuria was present in a total of 23 participants both from controlled diabetics & uncontrolled diabetic groups; this represented a 38.33% of occurrence of microalbuminuria in the diabetic population. Patel KL et al, Taneja V et al, Jadhav UM et al and Sobngwi E et al in their studies have reported the prevalence of Micro-albuminuria ranging from 25% to

35%.^[14-17] The slightly higher percentage of microalbuminuria in our study can be attributed to poor glycemic control (mean HbA_{1c} 7.96 ± 1.05) and smaller sample population.

In our study 23.33% (n=7) of controlled diabetic group (Group I) with mean HbA_{1C} 6.81 ± 0.19 and 53.33% (n=16) of uncontrolled diabetic group (Group II) with mean HbA_{1C} 8.47 ± 0.84 manifested microalbuminuria. It is evident from the above values that increase incidence of microalbuminuria in the uncontrolled Diabetic Group can be attributed to the poor glycemic status. It is also seen from the above values that even small increments of HbA_{1c} resulted in almost doubling of the incidence of microalbuminuria.

CONCLUSION

Uncontrolled diabetics showed a higher occurrence of microalbuminuria as compared to the control diabetic group. There was a significant association of microalbuminuria in uncontrolled diabetics and microalbuminuria shows to have a direct relationship with glycemic control. HbA1c which is an index of long-term blood glucose concentrations, its value above 7% in this study is associated with higher occurrence of microalbuminuria. Thus Microalbuminuria serves as a warning to achieve good glycemic control and prevent further worsening of diabetes related complications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors like to thank The Department of Physiology and Medicine Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College (SDUMC), Kolar. We also thank The Department of Physiology, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Medical College & Hospital, Perambalur, Tamil Nadu.

REFERENCES

- Klein R, Klein BEK, Moss SE. Relation of glycemic control to diabetic microvascular complications in diabetes mellitus. Ann Intern Med. 1996;124:90-96.
- 2. Klein R. Hyperglycemia and microvascular and macrovasulcar disease in diabetes. Diabetes Care. 1995;18:258-268.
- Goldstein DE, Little RR, Lorenz RA, Malone JI, Nathan D, Peterson CM. Tests of glycemia in diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2003; 26(1):S106-8.
- Ward KM. Renal function (microalbuminuria). Anal Chem. 1995; 67:383-391.
- Gaede P, Vedel P, Parving HH, Pedersen O. Intensified multifactorial intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria: the Steno type 2 randomised study. Lancet. 1999; 353:617-622.
- Held PJ, Port FK, Webb RL, Wolfe RA, Garcia JR, Blagg CR, et al. The United States Renal Data System's 1991 annual data report: An introduction. Am J Kidney Dis. 1991;18:1-16.
- Selby JC, Fitzsimmons SC, Newman JM, Katz PP, Sepe S, Showstack J. The natural history and epidemiology of diabetic nephropathy. Implications for prevention and control. JAMA, 1990; 263:1954-1960.
- 8. Viberti GC, Hill RD, Jarrett RJ, Argyropoulos A, Mahmud U, Keen H. Microalbuminuria as a predictor of clinical nephropathy in insulindependent diabetes mellitus. Lancet. 1982; 1:1430-1432.
- Mogensen CE. Microalbuminuria predicts clinical proteinuria and early mortality in maturity-onset diabetes. NEJM. 1984; 310:356-360.
- Chavers BM, Bilous RW, Ellis EN, Steffes MW, Mauer SM. Glomerular lesions and urinary albumin excretion in type 1 diabetes without overt proteinuria. NEJM. 1986; 320:966-970.
- 11. Alzaid AA. Microalbuminuria in patients with NIDDM: An overview. Diabetes Care. 1996; 19:79-89.
- 12. Mogensen CE, Christensen CK. Predicting diabetic nephropathy in insulin dependent patients. NEJM. 1984; 311:89-93.
- 13. Gaster B, Hirsch IB. The effects of improved glycemic control on complications in type 2 diabetes. Aarch Intern Med. 1998; 158:134.
- Patel KL, Mhetras SB, Varthakavi PK, Merchant PC, Nihalani KD. Microalbuminuria in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. JAPI. 1999; 47(5): 596-601.
- Taneja V, Sircar S, Kansra U, Lamba IMS. Microalbuminuria in normotensive non-insulin dependent diabetic subjects – associations and predictions. J Diab Assoc India. 1997; 37(2):30-36.
- Jadhav UM, Kadam NN. Association of microalbuminuria with carotid Intima- Media thickness and coronary artery disease- A cross sectional study in Western India. JAPI. 2002; 50:1124-1129.
- Sobngwi E, Mbanya J, Moukouri EN, Ngu KB. Microalbuminuria and retinopathy in a diabetic population of Cameroon. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 1999; 44:191-196.

Cite this article as: Naveen P, Venkatesan R, Ashwath kumar R, Venkidusamy S. Effect of glycemic control on the incidence of microalbuminuria in type II diabetes mellitus individuals. Natl J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol 2014; 4:132-134. **Source of Support: Nil**

Conflict of interest: None declared